

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patest and Trademark Office Adams COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Bec 1459 Akandia, Vignia 2241-1459

٢	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
٠	10/622,291	07/18/2003	Georg Boilig	BOLLIG-2 (CONT)	7243	
	72	90 06/03/2004		EXAM	INTR	
		COLLARD & ROE, P.C.			LIN, KUANG Y	
	1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, NY 11576-1696			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				AKTUNII	PAPER MUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			1 delete energi-7			
	Office Action Summary	10/622,291	BOLLIG, GEORG			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kuang Y. Lin	1725			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	eation appears on the cover shoot w	ith the correspondence address			
- Exte efte - if th - if No - Felli Any	THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Learning of laws may be wellaked used to previous of 27 CPT (1.50(g), in severel, however, may a rayly to femily fised.  Extension of laws may be wealth used the previous of 27 CPT (1.50(g), in the setting region printing of 18 (g) (20 ft up as the considered loady, entry to the previous of the previous of 18 (g) (20 ft up as the considered loady).  If the principle ray provided easy to be a few to the provious of 18 (g) (20 ft up as the considered loady).  Figure 19 (g)					
10⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 May 2004 and 21 May 2004.					
2a)⊠	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/an	e withdrawn from consideration.				

## 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
 Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

o) Comito) Comito Composition and Composition

## Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

oce the extended estance established a list of the destated especially received

## Attechment(s)

1) 
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) 
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) 
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/98)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(e)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/622,291 Art Unit: 1725

 Claim 2 is again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant repards as the invention.

The language in lines 2-3 of the claim is in a non-idiomatic expression such that renders the meaning vague and indefinite.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness relections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the Invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this tits, if the differences between the subject matter cought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary still in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neastaked by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1, 3-11 again are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mivazawa for the same reasons as set forth in the last office action.

Namely, Miyazawa substantially shows (see, for example, col. 7, line 41+ and col. 8, line 6+ as well as figures 1 and 3 wherein it discloses that different twin roll pairs are used in a twin roll casting plant) the invention as daimed except that his twin roll casting use magnetic force field to confine the molten metal. However, he does mention that it is conventional to provide a side dam in each roll end as a seal. It would have been obvious to use the conventional side dam as a seal if a width of the strip is the same as the shell length. With respect to claim 3, it is conventional to use change frame for changing twin roll pairs (see, for example, Nakamori et al and Fish et al). It would have been obvious to provide holding element, bearing element and setting frame for support and adjust each roller. It

would have been obvious to provide wear resistant insert for the side dam (see, for example, Spink).

 Applicant's arguments filed May 10, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's main argument is in that the diameter of the second set of roll as shown in figure 3 is not necessary different from that of first set of the roll shown in figure 1. However, since it is a common knowledge that casting rolls in a twinroll casting plant may be of various widths (or lengths) and diameters, with 600-1000 mm roll diameters being common (see, for example, col. 1, line19+ of Godinho), it is a common practice to provide rolls of various widths (or lengths) and diameters in the twin-roll casting plant such that different product can be cast.

- Claim 2 contains allowable subject matter and will be allowed upon the rejection under 35 USC 112 supra is overcome.
- THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filled within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.138(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retireval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (FBIC) at 868-217-9197 (Iol-free).

> Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725